

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,611	10/25/2001	Ryota Hata	M2047-27	1017	
7278 7590 12/22/2006 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P. O. BOX 5257			EXAMINER		
			LIANG, REGINA		
NEW YORK, I	NY 10150-5257		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•		_	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· **	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/045,611	HATA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Regina Liang	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH		-	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(c)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI f).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. latutory period for reply originally set in the ns after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extensi final Office action; or (2 on, even if timely filed, m	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) ay reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally re	, , ,	y
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	• • •		. (DTOL 004)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompilant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendr	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new of amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>3-5 and 11-13</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 6-10, 14-16, 19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe iry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	, , , ,	n condition for allow	ance because:
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SP/08) Paper No/s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation in claims 1, 9, and 19 change the scope of the claims, they require further consideration. Claims 21 and 22 are newly added claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.